


BEC Educational Series:
Elements of
mitochondrial physiology
and bioenergetics

Cite

Gnaiger E (2025) The protonmotive force – from motive protons to membrane potential. BEC preprints 2025.3. <https://doi.org/10.26124/becprep.2025-0003>



Conflicts of interest

EG is an editor of the BEC Educational Series *Elements of mitochondrial physiology and bioenergetics*.

Received 2025-04-24

Accepted 2025-04-24

Published 2025-04-24

Keywords

charge
coupling
energy
force
oxidative phosphorylation
proton
redox pump

Protonmotive force - from motive protons to membrane potential

 Erich Gnaiger

Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria

Correspondence: erich.gnaiger@orooboros.at

Summary


The protonmotive force (pmF) is central to oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), coupling oxygen consumption (**OX**) in cell respiration to phosphorylation of ADP to ATP (**PHOS**). Defined as an electrochemical potential difference, the pmF consists of two components: the electric part pmF_{el} , linked to the transmembrane potential difference ($\Delta\psi$), and the diffusive part pmF_d , related to the pH difference (ΔpH) across the mitochondrial inner membrane. Although pmF_{el} is dominant in animal mitochondria, pmF_d — often overlooked — contributes significantly under physiological conditions.

Peter Mitchell's chemiosmotic theory defines four integrated coupling modules. **Module 1:** The ATP synthase utilizes the pmF producing ATP (PHOS). **Module 2:** The electron transfer system generates the pmF by redox-driven proton transport (OX). **Module 3:** Coupling of proton translocation to electroneutral ion exchange modulates the balance from pmF_d to pmF_{el} . **Module 4:** The coupling membrane integrates these structural and functional coupling modules.

A ΔpH of only 0.5 units contributes approximately 15–20 % to the total pmF , emphasizing that pmF_d can provide a significant thermodynamic push. Oversimplified textbook conventions are challenged by rigorously incorporating stoichiometric numbers ν_{H^+} and the charge number z_{H^+} in the equations defining the advancement of proton translocation and the protonmotive force. A transparent theoretical framework bridges theory and experiment with an innovative conceptual drive.

- The pmF explains the mechanism of OXPHOS coupling.
- H^+ -linked electron *transfer* in redox reactions drives compartmental H^+ *transport*.
- The pmF with units [J/mol] or [V = J/C] is isomorphic to physical forces with unit newton [N = J/m].
- Force times advancement of the motive quantity yields energy [J] in the form of exergy available for work.

Introduction: Coupling of oxidation (OX) to phosphorylation (PHOS) of ADP to ATP in oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) is mediated by the protonmotive force (pmF) through the hydrogen ion H^+ circuit [6]. The pmF consists of two physically inseparably bound but analytically distinct partial forces,




measured with specific electrochemical sensors or fluorescent probes: The electric part pmF_{el} is linked to charge separation, while the diffusive part pmF_d is linked to the pH difference between two compartments separated by the mitochondrial inner membrane. To add the partial forces, $pmF_{el} + pmF_d$, both must be expressed interchangeably in the same units, whether in electrical (charge), chemical (amount), or molecular (count) formats. Conversion between units is based on fundamental proportionality constants of nature. The term protonmotive force is derived from three elementary concepts: the electrochemical *proton* (p), the dynamic *motive* (m), and the thermodynamic (ergodynamic) *force* (F). Taken together, this yields the abbreviation pmF .

1. Proton

There are protons (p^+) and hydrogen ions (H^+). The superscript + indicates the positive electric charge when the electron (e^-) with its negative charge is missing from the hydrogen atom ($H = H^+ + e^-$). The proton is a positively charged subatomic particle in all atoms. However, the terms proton and hydrogen ion are used synonymously for the cation H^+ or even collectively including the hydronium ion cluster formed with water, $H_2O + H^+ = H_3O^+$. It depends on the context, whether a distinction is needed or not.

The activity of H^+ is of special relevance in water, aqueous solutions, and cellular compartments. Proton activity is frequently measured potentiometrically and expressed as the pH on a logarithmic scale. The pH indicates the acidity. A difference in pH of one unit ($\Delta pH = 1$) implies a 10-fold difference in H^+ activity. Water at pH 7 and 25 °C is said to be neutral, but neutrality shifts to a lower pH at higher temperature.

Below pH 7, aqueous solutions are acidic with a high activity of H^+ . The H^+ activity is related to the concentration of H^+ . Mitochondria are small, often elongated particles with a tiny volume. At pH 8 the volume of the matrix enclosed by the mitochondrial inner membrane contains two to six H^+ per mitochondrion. The number of protons N_{H^+} in the matrix is kept low by the action of molecular redox pumps which are large enzymes called respiratory Complexes, specifically CI, CIII, and CIV (Mitchell's module 2). These redox pumps push H^+ out of the matrix space, generating a ΔpH across the mitochondrial inner membrane (mtIM). H^+ -linked electron *transfer* in reduction-oxidation (redox) reactions is coupled to *transport* (translocation) of protons (hydrogen ions H^+) across the mtIM which has no or low pump-independent translocation capacity for ions. Since charges are involved, the matrix (low in H^+) is the cathodic compartment with a negative charge. The outer space is the **anodic compartment** with a positive charge (higher in H^+ , lower pH). The p in the protonmotive force pmF indicates *proton* but not *pressure* [2].




Notes on p

- Symbol pH: stems from the term *potentia* (p) *hydrogenii* (H), not to be confused with symbol p for proton.
- The terms hydrogen ion H^+ and proton are used synonymously for the *hydron* H^+ .
- The charge number z_{H^+} of H^+ equals 1; the charge number z_{OH^-} of OH^- equals -1.
- In dilute aqueous solutions, the hydrogen ion activity a_{H^+} is approximately (numerically) equal to the hydrogen ion concentration c_{H^+} .
- Activity a_{H^+} : dimensionless ratio of concentration divided by the unit standard concentration, times the activity coefficient.
- Count concentration: number of H^+ per volume, $C_{H^+} = N_{H^+} \cdot V^{-1}$ [x/L].
- Amount concentration: moles of H^+ per volume, $c_{H^+} = n_{H^+} \cdot V^{-1}$ [mol/L].
- pH: negative of the base 10 logarithm of the activity of H^+ , $pH = -\log_{10}(a_{H^+})$.
- At pH 8 in the mitochondrial matrix, the corresponding H^+ activity is 10^{-8} and the H^+ concentration is $0.01 \mu\text{mol/L}$.
- ΔpH : pH difference across the mitochondrial inner membrane mtIM, due to high pH on the cathodic matrix side and lower pH on the anodic outer side.
- The *difference* in pH between the two compartments separated by a membrane, ΔpH , does not consider the thickness z of the membrane and must not be confused with a pH *gradient* $\partial pH / \partial z$ across the membrane.
- Compare cathode and anode (catabolism and anabolism): in ancient Greek hodos = way; 'cathodos' or 'cata' means *down*, 'anodos' means *up*.

2. Motive

The term *motive* (m) is familiar from the electromotive force in electrochemistry. It is helpful to distinguish (1) the *dynamic* or motive amount of protons in the process of translocation indicated by arrows ($b \rightarrow a$), versus (2) the amount of protons in a defined *state* of a compartment. Thereby, *what moves* between compartments is distinguished from *what is contained* in a compartment [2]. Even if the static number of H^+ is small in the cathodic matrix, the motive number of H^+ pumped across the membrane may be large in mitochondria respiring at a high rate.



Attention to the units of quantities helps explore the complex concept of the protonmotive force. In physics, the motive particles are expressed as a count, which is the number of particles involved in the process, with the elementary unit $[x]$. In chemistry, the motive particles are expressed as an amount, with unit mole $[mol]$. The charge number z_{H^+} of H^+ equals one. Therefore, the proton charges pumped are proportional to the motive amount of protons. In electrochemistry, the motive quantity of translocated H^+ is expressed as charge, with unit coulomb $[C]$. Both, one mole and one charge are fixed large numbers of particles. The familiar notation describing dissociation as $H_2O \rightarrow H^+ + OH^-$ illustrates, that the three motive terms in the transformation can be expressed in different units (elementary unit, mole, coulomb) of the quantities count, amount, or charge. But in each case, the units must be chosen consistently for the transformation. Expressing H^+ in coulombs, therefore, implies that in this case the *uncharged* molecule H_2O is expressed in units of *charge*. This is not paradoxical if viewing the dissociation as $H^+OH^- \rightarrow H^+ + OH^-$.

Advancement of proton transfer across the mtIM between the matrix (cathodic compartment b) and the anodic compartment a is a vectorial transformation $H^+_a \rightarrow H^+_b$. The *motive amount* of H^+ — that is, the amount associated with this transformation — is related to the advancement $d_m \xi_{H^+}$ by the stoichiometric number ν_{H^+i} :

$$d_m \xi_{H^+} = d_m n_{H^+a} / \nu_{H^+a} = d_m n_{H^+b} / \nu_{H^+b} \quad [mol]$$

This may appear formal, but the underlying concept is straightforward. Subscripts a and b refer to the two compartments i . The subscript m denotes the partial contribution of the motive process to the overall change. A motive amount $d_m n_{H^+i}$ is associated with the *process* of the defined partial transformation, in contrast to the *state* quantity $d n_{H^+i}$ referring to the amount present in the indicated compartment i .

The stoichiometric numbers, ν_{H^+a} and ν_{H^+b} , define the motive directionality: for proton translocation $a \rightarrow b$, the stoichiometric number $\nu_{H^+a} = -1$ ($-1 H^+$: the entity is

removed from compartment a) and $v_{H^+b} = 1$. Therefore, a simple balance equation is obtained under the condition that H^+ concentration does not change in the membrane,

$$0 = v_{H^+a} H^+ + v_{H^+b} H^+$$

If the process $a \rightarrow b$ proceeds backwards, the advancement $d_m \xi_{H^+}$ assumes a negative value. This is different from defining the transformation in the opposite direction, $b \rightarrow a$, when the signs are reverted, and $v_{H^+a} = +1$.

External exchange of matter is a process prevented in closed systems. In such a system without overlapping transformations other than those defined as 'm', there is an equality favored under experimentally simplified conditions, $d_m n_{H^+a} = d n_{H^+a}$. Then the rather elusive *motive* flow, $d_m n_{H^+a}/dt$, can actually be quantified as $d n_{H^+a}/dt$ in terms of a change over time monitored outside of the mitochondrial matrix (compartment a) in an overall *closed system*.

pH measured in compartment a can be converted to the concentration c_{H^+a} in the compartmental volume V_a ,

$$d_m c_{H^+a} = d_m n_{H^+a}/V_a \quad [\text{mol/m}^3]$$



Advancement has been introduced originally for chemical reactions in a homogenous system without distinct compartments. When extending the concept of advancement to translocation, it is important to clarify that volume-specific advancement is normalized for the total volume of the system, $V = V_a + V_b = \sum V_i$, where i indicates compartments a or b ,

$$d_m \xi_{H^+}/V = d_m n_{H^+i}/V \cdot v_{H^+i}^{-1} \quad [\text{mol/m}^3]$$

Note that $d_m n_{H^+i}/V$ is not a change of concentration. Importantly, compartmental volumes V_a and V_b may be different. Substituting V by the volume fraction, $\Phi_i = V_i/V$, we obtain [2],

$$d_m \xi_{H^+}/V = d_m c_{H^+i} \cdot \Phi_i \cdot v_{H^+i}^{-1} \quad [\text{mol/m}^3]$$

This takes us from advancement to the protonmotive force. Advancement per time is the generalized flow of a transformation. Advancement links the motive quantity to its conjugated force. Motive quantities are expressed in different motive units. The product of advancement and the conjugated force, however, yields always energy in the unit joule [J], either in the form of energy available for work in coupled energy transformations or in the form of energy dissipated irreversibly and thus related to entropy production.




Notes on m

- Count N_{H^+} : H^+ is countable and the number of H^+ is a count, with the elementary unit $[x]$. In electrochemistry, the count format is replaced by amount or charge.
- Amount n_{H^+} : expressed in the unit mole $[mol]$.
- Charge Q_{H^+} : expressed in the unit coulomb $[C]$.
- Motive versus state entities: The *motive* count, amount, or charge translocated between compartments is distinguished from the *state* entities which describe the system's current condition.
- Advancement $d_m \xi_{H^+}$: As motive entities are removed from an exit compartment and moved into an entry compartment, the advancement describes this process independent of an exit or entry point of view.

3. Force

The protonmotive force is a thermodynamic force different from the fundamental forces of physics. To be alert of the code-switching between physics and chemistry, it is emphasized that the thermodynamic forces are implicitly isomorphic *motive* forces and statistical (entropic). In contrast, the forces of physics are vectors with spatial direction, in units of newton $[N]$, where force $[N = J/m]$ is energy, with unit joule $[J]$, per distance, with unit meter $[m]$. In compartmental (discontinuous) systems, forces such as the electromotive force emF and pmF are related to potential differences, hence the symbol Δp used by Peter Mitchell [6]. Distance is replaced by advancement of the motive entity expressed in various units. Force and advancement are conjugated quantities with identical formats: their product always yields energy with the unique unit joule. Motive forces are known as the 'generalized' forces of the thermodynamics of irreversible processes [2; 4].

Work is performed when protons H^+ are actively transported from the cathodic matrix 'uphill' to the anodic compartment. The chemical work is supplied by oxidation of fuel substrates. 'Available energy' — potentially transformed into work — is a distinct form of energy defined as Gibbs energy or *exergy* under typical experimental conditions of constant pressure and temperature, expressed in the general energy unit joule $[J]$. The pmF is the potential energy *per* advancement of H^+ . When the pmF is expressed in its electrical format, the pmF unit is joule per coulomb $[J/C]$. The unit J/C has the name volt with symbol V ; $V = J/C$.



The pmF of functional mitochondria is typically 160 to 240 mV (0.16 to 0.24 V), depending on the respiratory state. When oxygen is consumed and ATP produced at maximum OXPHOS capacity P , then the phosphorylation system exerts a load and thus lowers the pmF . In contrast, when the ATP demand is entirely blocked, then the pmF increases to a maximum and the oxygen consumption rate drops. Under this condition, the oxidation system — the electron transfer system driving the redox proton pumps — pushes protons slowly out of the matrix space, only to compensate for the backdiffusion of protons through the rather tight mtIM. Backdiffusion of protons is higher when the mtIM is leaky, and then requires a higher pumping rate of protons to compensate for the leak, which in turn stimulates respiration at a lower coupling efficiency. Therefore, this respiratory state is identified as the leak state. The corresponding respiration rate is the leak respiration L [3].

A defective mtIM may become increasingly leaky, causing at the limit a complete short-circuit of the proton cycle across the mtIM. This leads to a collapse of the pmF . Experimentally, a short-circuit can be chemically induced by adding so-called protonophores which are lipid-soluble substances that shuttle protons with their charge through the membrane. Then, OXPHOS-coupled ATP production cannot proceed; therefore, protonophores are called uncouplers. However, ‘coupling’ remains between redox proton pumps and proton translocation in module 2.

When the redox proton pumps do not face a pmF against which to push, oxygen consumption increases to a maximum rate in the decoupled state. This rate in the decoupled state provides a measure of mitochondrial oxidative (electron transfer) capacity E . If the capacity of the PHOS system to utilize the pmF limits OXPHOS capacity P , then E is higher than OXPHOS capacity. P cannot be higher than E , but $P = E$ in several types of mitochondria. In well-coupled mitochondria, leak respiration L is as low as 5 to 15 % of E . Coupling, uncoupling, and decoupling are key components of mitochondrial respiratory control [3].

Notes on F


- The protonmotive force pmF is exergy per advancement, $\partial G/\partial_m \xi_{H^+}$.
 - The joule [J] is the unit of all forms of energy, including heat, work, enthalpy and exergy.
 - $d_m \xi_{H^+}/dt$ is a motive flow. Always, the product of a flow times the conjugated force yields power with unit watt [$W = J/s$].
 - The pmF of functional mitochondria ranges from 160 to 240 mV (= mJ/C).
 - Physiological *uncoupling* and pathological *dyscoupling* diminish the pmF [3].
 - The pmF is a physiological parameter of mitochondrial respiratory control.
-

4. Electric and diffusive nature of the pmF

If only protons without any other cations, nor an external electric field are present, then the two partial forces add up to $pmF = pmF_d + pmF_{el}$. A unit pH difference ($\Delta pH = 1$) corresponds to a pmF_d of 5.9 kJ/mol (62 mV) plus an equal partial contribution pmF_{el,H^+} at 37 °C: Thus, $pmF = pmF_d + pmF_{el} = 12 \text{ kJ/mol} = 123 \text{ mV}$.

Here comes a challenge: In mammalian mitochondria, pmF_{el} reaches typically up to 200 mV, higher than 62 mV although ΔpH is less than 1. This apparent discrepancy is resolved by Mitchell's module 3 [6]: While the proton pumps build up a pmF_{el} , the H^+ ions extruded from the matrix are neutralized by negatively charged counterions Z^- .

Z^- cannot pass the mtIM, otherwise colocalization with H^+ would restrict the generation of the pmF_{el} . Instead, a negatively charged Z^- combines with H^+ in the anodic phase and forms an uncharged particle HZ that equilibrates readily across the mtIM by electroneutral diffusion, reducing the pH difference but maintaining the pmF_{el} . HZ dissociates in the cathodic matrix to $Z^- + H^+$, maintaining the low number of protons in the matrix at a steady state while building up the electric potential difference adding negative charges to the matrix. A similar scheme applies to Z^{2-} . Although the primary mechanism of generating the pmF is the translocation of H^+ by the proton pumps, ΔpH remains low, and the predominant contribution to the pmF is the electric potential difference maintained by the counterions.



Methods for measurement of pmF_{el} are more commonly applied compared to determination of ΔpH and thus pmF_d [5]. Changes in pmF_{el} may be accompanied by compensatory shifts in pmF_d . If measurements are restricted to pmF_{el} , the limitations of interpretation should always be considered to avoid ambiguities arising when pmF_{el} is presented as a substitute of the actual protonmotive force.

The partial protonmotive force of translocation ($b \rightarrow a$) is the sum of *stoichiometric chemical potentials* [2],

$$pmF_d = \mu_{H^+a} \cdot \nu_{H^+a} + \mu_{H^+b} \cdot \nu_{H^+b} = RT \cdot [\ln(a_{H^+a}) - \ln(a_{H^+b})] \quad [\text{kJ/mol}]$$

where μ_{H^+i} is the chemical potential of H^+ in compartment i ,

$$\mu_{H^+i} = \mu_{H^+i}^\circ + RT \cdot \ln(a_{H^+i}) \quad [\text{kJ/mol}]$$

The standard chemical potential $\mu_{H^+i}^\circ$ cancels when assuming that the activity coefficient of H^+ is identical on both sides of the membrane. Thus pmF_d can be calculated from ΔpH at a given temperature T [K] (37 °C in the numerical examples below; $T = 315 \text{ K}$). This requires (1) selection of the motive unit for the formats count

(N), amount (n), or charge (e), using the corresponding proportionality constants k , R , or f ; (2) conversion of the base 10 logarithm of pH to the base 2 logarithm, $\ln a_{H^+} = -\ln(10) \cdot \text{pH}$, where $\ln(10) = 2.303$; and (3) definition of the direction of the translocation across the mt-membrane, either passive diffusion of H^+ to the cathodic matrix ($a \rightarrow b$) when the force has a negative sign, or active pumping from the cathodic matrix (b) to the anodic compartment a when the force has a positive sign (in the equations below, $b \rightarrow a$),

$$\begin{array}{llll} \underline{N}: & pmF_{dN} = kT \cdot \ln(10) \cdot \Delta \text{pH} & = 9.86 \cdot 10^{-21} \cdot \Delta \text{pH} & [\text{J}/\text{x}] \\ \underline{n}: & pmF_{dn} = RT \cdot \ln(10) \cdot \Delta \text{pH} & = 5.94 \cdot \Delta \text{pH} & [\text{kJ}/\text{mol}] \\ \underline{e}: & pmF_{de} = fT \cdot z_{H^+}^{-1} \cdot \ln(10) \cdot \Delta \text{pH} & = 61.5 \cdot \Delta \text{pH} & [\text{mJ}/\text{C}] \end{array}$$

The Boltzmann constant k [$\text{J} \cdot \text{x}^{-1}/\text{K}$] is a defining constant of the SI [1]. The gas constant R [$\text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}/\text{K}$] is related to k by the Avogadro constant, $R = k \cdot N_A$. Consistently, the electromotive constant f [$\text{J} \cdot \text{C}^{-1}/\text{K}$] is related to k by the elementary charge, $f = k/e$ [2; 4]. These proportionality constants relate temperature [K] to the concept of isomorphic forces [J/MU], expressed in different motive units [MU]. The explicit symbol x is introduced for the elementary SI unit ‘one’, such that the unit of force [J/x] in kT is distinguished from the unit of energy [J]. pmF_d is the derivative of exergy [J] per advancement of proton translocation irrespective of charge and is expressed in different formats: count with elementary unit [x], amount with unit mole [mol], or charge with unit coulomb [C].

Notes on pmF

- Mitchell’s module 3 modulates the pmF , shifting pmF_d to pmF_{el} by coupling of proton translocation to electroneutral and reversible proton charge exchange of cations against H^+ and anions against OH^- [6].
- The *physical nature* of the two additive motive forces (electric and diffusive) must be distinguished from the *formats* of the protonmotive force — specified by their units.
- The pmF can be represented in formats of count, amount, or charge. Correspondingly, the pmF is expressed in units [J/x], [J/mol], or [J/C].
- The unit of charge is the coulomb [C]. Joule per coulomb defines the unit volt [V].
- The unit of the fundamental forces of physics [$N = \text{J}/\text{m}$] can be compared with the isomorphic electrical and chemical units [$V = \text{J}/\text{C}$] and [J/mol].
- The unit of motive force is V or J/mol in the electrical or chemical format, respectively. A comparable unit for the chemical force [$\text{Jol} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{J}/\text{mol}$] is not available but would be as practical as N and V.
- The pmF does not express the pH gradient and electric potential gradient, but their compartmental differences. This fundamental concept is explicitly considered in the isomorphic symbol $\Delta_m F_{H^+}$ for the pmF , and the corresponding electric and diffusive parts, $\Delta_{el} F_{p^+}$ and $\Delta_d F_{H^+}$, respectively [2]. In contrast to a

gradient with direction in space, the protonmotive force is related to differences of electrochemical potentials between compartments.

5. Conversions

The partial protonmotive forces cannot be added if pmF_{el} is expressed in the electrical unit [mV] and pmF_d is expressed in the chemical unit [kJ/mol]. The partial forces must be aligned by conversion between chemical and electrical formats and corresponding motive units. The pmF is expressed in different motive formats depending on the electrical or chemical motive unit (C or mol). Conversion of units changes the numerical value without change of meaning. Historically, different symbols have been assigned to the pmF in the e and n format,

$$\begin{array}{lll} e: & pmF_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta p & [\text{mV} = \text{mJ}/\text{C}] \\ n: & pmF_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta \tilde{\mu}_{H^+} & [\text{kJ}/\text{mol}] \end{array}$$

$N \rightarrow n$ and $N \rightarrow e$ format conversion from count to amount and count to charge: The number of H^+ , N_{H^+} [x] (count format N), divided by the Avogadro constant N_A [x/mol] is the molar amount of H^+ , $n_{H^+} = N_{H^+} \cdot N_A^{-1}$ [mol] (molar format n). Multiplication by the elementary charge e [C/x] converts the quantity count N_x [x] to the quantity charge, $Q_{H^+} = N_{H^+} \cdot e$ [C] (molar format e).

$$\begin{array}{lll} N [x] \rightarrow n [\text{mol}]: & pmF_n = pmF_N \cdot N_A & [\text{J}/\text{mol}] \\ N [x] \rightarrow e [\text{C}]: & pmF_e = pmF_N \cdot e^{-1} & [\text{J}/\text{C}] \end{array}$$

$e \leftrightarrow n$ format interconversion between charge and amount: The product of the two fundamental constants e and N_A is the Faraday constant $F = e \cdot N_A$ [C/mol] which is the proportionality constant between charge [C] and amount [mol]. The electrical charge of H^+ , Q_{H^+} [C] (format e), divided by the charge number of the proton ($z_{H^+} = 1$) and by the Faraday constant is the amount, $n_{H^+} = Q_{H^+} \cdot (z_{H^+} \cdot F)^{-1}$ [mol].

$$\begin{array}{lll} e [\text{C}] \rightarrow n [\text{mol}]: & pmF_n = pmF_e \cdot z_{H^+} \cdot e \cdot N_A & = pmF_e \cdot z_{H^+} \cdot F \quad [\text{J}/\text{mol}] \\ n [\text{mol}] \rightarrow e [\text{C}]: & pmF_e = pmF_n \cdot (z_{H^+} \cdot e \cdot N_A)^{-1} & = pmF_n \cdot (z_{H^+} \cdot F)^{-1} \quad [\text{J}/\text{C}] \end{array}$$

Notes on constants and units

- N and the Boltzmann constant $k = 1.380\,649 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{ J} \cdot \text{x}^{-1}/\text{K}$; a defining SI constant [1; 4].
- n and the gas constant $R = 8.314462618 \text{ J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}/\text{K}$; related to k by the Avogadro constant, $R = k \cdot N_A = f \cdot F$.
- e and the electromotive constant $f = 8.617333262 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ J} \cdot \text{C}^{-1}/\text{K}$; related to k by the elementary charge, $f = k/e = R/F$ [2; 4].

- $n \rightarrow N$ and the Avogadro constant $N_A = 6.022\,140\,76 \cdot 10^{23}$ x/mol; a defining SI constant [1], the number of elementary entities [x] per mole [mol].
- $N \rightarrow e$ and the elementary charge $e = 1.602\,176\,634 \cdot 10^{-19}$ C/x; a defining SI constant [1], relating the electric unit [C] to the elementary unit [x].
- $n \rightarrow e$ and the Faraday constant $F = 96\,485.332\,12$ C/mol; relating the electric unit [C] to the chemical unit [mol], $F = e \cdot N_A = R/f$. The Faraday constant is the ratio of chemical and electrical units $\left[\frac{\text{J/mol}}{\text{V}} = \frac{\text{C}}{\text{mol}} \right]$.

Conclusions: pmF_{el} and pmF_d are distinct but complementary components of OXPHOS coupling mediated by the **proton** circuit. The term **motive** expresses a dynamic concept. A motive amount [mol] or charge [C] in a *process* is distinguished from the corresponding *state* quantities amount or charge in a system or compartment. The advancement of H^+ across a semipermeable membrane times the conjugated electrochemical **force** pmF yields the electrochemical energy available for work. *Protonmotive* indicates a potential for the movement of H^+ ; *force* is a measure of the potential for motion [2].

Under typical physiological conditions, the pmF ranges from 160 to 240 mV (= 0.16 to 0.24 J·C⁻¹), or 16 to 23 kJ·mol⁻¹ in the chemical format. When the cytosolic pH is 7.2 and the mitochondrial matrix is only 0.5 pH units more alkaline (pH 7.7), this small pH difference (ΔpH) contributes about 31 mV or 3 kJ·mol⁻¹ to the total pmF at 37 °C. While the electric component pmF_{el} predominates in animal mitochondria, the diffusive component pmF_d can account for a physiologically relevant share of 15 to 20 % of the total pmF . A small ΔpH generates a significant thermodynamic push. The pmF is not merely an electric potential difference across a membrane: it is a finely tuned balance of electric and diffusive components, each playing a critical role in the bioenergetics of the cell.

In many textbook representations, neither the charge number z_{H^+} nor the stoichiometric number ν_{H^+} are shown explicitly in the equations defining the protonmotive force and advancement. While omitting terms with a value of 1 or -1 may merely change the sign without other mathematical consequences, neglect of the fundamental meaning of such quantities obscures the conceptual foundations and hinders proper understanding. In contrast, applying a rigorous and transparent theoretical framework improves our experimental design and fosters interpretation of results with an innovative conceptual drive.

Terms and symbols

a_{H^+}	activity of H^+
C_{H^+}	count concentration of H^+ [x/m ³]
c_{H^+}	amount concentration of H^+ [mol/m ³]
e^-	electron, negative charge

ETS	electron transfer system
H ⁺	hydrogen ion, positively charged, proton is used synonymously in bioenergetics
N_{H^+}	count of H ⁺ [x]
n_{H^+}	amount of H ⁺ [mol]
$d_m n_{H^+ i}$	partial change of the motive amount of H ⁺ in compartment i
OXPHOS	oxidative phosphorylation
pH	negative of the base 10 logarithm of the activity of H ⁺ , $pH = -\log_{10}(a_{H^+})$
pmF	protonmotive force, other symbols are Δp , $\Delta \tilde{\mu}_{H^+}$, or $\Delta_m F_{H^+}$
pmF_d	diffusive part of the pmF , other symbols are $\Delta \mu_{H^+}$ or $\Delta_d F_{H^+}$
pmF_{el}	electric part of the pmF , other symbols are $\Delta \Psi_{mt}$, $\Delta \Psi_{p^+}$, or $\Delta_{el} F_{p^+}$, where subscript p^+ instead of H^+ emphasizes that charge is not specific to H ⁺ [2]
Q_{H^+}	charge of H ⁺ [C]
V	volume; V_i is the volume of compartment i
z_{H^+}	charge number of H ⁺ ; $z_{H^+} = 1$
μ_{H^+}	chemical potential of H ⁺
$\nu_{H^+ i}$	stoichiometric number of H ⁺ on the exit or entry side i
$d_m \xi_{H^+}$	advancement of transformation m
Φ_i	volume fraction of compartment i

Acknowledgements

I thank the Oroboros Bioenergetics Team, particularly Bente Theeuwes for helpful comments and stimulating discussions.

Further reading

1. Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (2019) The International System of Units (SI). 9th edition (V3.01 August 2024):117-216. [ISBN 978-92-822-2272-0](https://doi.org/10.1002/9789282222720)
2. Gnaiger E (2020) Mitochondrial pathways and respiratory control. An introduction to OXPHOS analysis. 5th ed. <https://doi.org/10.26124/bec:2020-0002>
3. Gnaiger E (2025) Mitochondrial respiratory function in living cells. <https://doi.org/10.26124/bec.2025-0005>
4. Gnaiger E (2025) Boltzmann and gas constant – ambiguities between energy and force. <https://doi.org/10.26124/beckprep.2025-0005>
5. Komlódi T, Tretter L (2022) The protonmotive force – not merely membrane potential. <https://doi.org/10.26124/bec:2022-0016>
6. Mitchell P (1966) Chemiosmotic coupling in oxidative and photosynthetic phosphorylation. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabi.2011.09.018>

Copyright ©2025 The author. This is an Open Access preprint (not peer-reviewed) distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited. © The author, who has granted BEC preprints an Open Access preprint license in perpetuity.